

**COMMISSION FOR HIGHER EDUCATION**

**GUIDELINES**

**FOR**

**RE-EVALUATION OF CURRICULUM FOR REVISED  
ACADEMIC PROGRAMMES**

**MARCH 2011**

The re-evaluation report should be presented in the format of the comprehensive report sent to the Institution. It should include:

1. Each concern raised in the comprehensive report sent to the Institution;
2. The response of the Institution to each concern raised;
3. The adequacy and appropriateness of the response of the Institution to each of the concerns raised as presented in the response document from the Institution and the revised curriculum;
4. The conclusion with regard to the entire revised programme, which should address the following aspects:
  - a. *Is the curriculum prepared in the format provided by the Commission for Higher Education?*
  - b. *Is the curriculum clearly written and void of editorial, both typographical and grammatical, errors?*
  - c. *Are the various components of the curriculum linked to each other?*
  - d. *Is the programme appropriate for the type of field and level of students intended?*
  - e. *Is the programme contextualized and relevant?*
  - f. *What are the major areas of concern in the curriculum?*
  - g. *What is your overall recommendation of the programme? Does the programme need to be further revamped or **re-designed**?*